

# Does Automation Adoption Drive Reshoring? A Cross-Country Investigation

Thao Trang Nguyen

UNU-MERIT - United Nations University and Maastricht University - Netherlands

Charting Inclusive Pathways for  
Innovation, Growth, & Integration in Asia

2023 EAEA International Conference, South Korea

22 Oct, 2023

# International fragmentation of production?

- ▶ The introduction of technologies such as **computers and ICTs** and the **opening of lower labor-cost countries** have contributed to an **international fragmentation of production** (Los et al., 2015; Pegoraro et al., 2020)
- ▶ However, the **increasing fragmentation of production** also exposes some **costs and risks**.
  - ▶ Loss of jobs for low-skilled workers in labor-intensive industries in developed countries to offshoring
  - ▶ External shocks are out of control for firms (2011 Tohoku Earthquake, covid 19, etc)
  - ▶ Geopolitical shocks, national security threats

A debate and set of policies to **bring jobs back home (reshoring)**.

# Reorganization of global production?

- ▶ The **introduction of new automation technology** may help exacerbate this trend and **bring disruption** to the existing global value chains.
  - ▶ Could **substitute workers**
  - ▶ Open up new opportunities for advanced countries to **shift from mass-production to mass-customized production** (Brettel et al., 2014)

# This Paper

## Research Questions

- ▶ *How automation technologies affect reshoring at the macro level?*
  - ▶ How to **quantify reshoring** at the **macro level** (country and sector-country level)?
  - ▶ Does automation technologies **increase** reshoring at the macro level?

# This Paper

## Contribution

- ▶ **Offshoring and Reshoring**
  - ▶ New measure to quantify reshoring at the macro level (country and industry-country level)
- ▶ **Automation and Reshoring** (Ancarani et al., 2019; Barbieri et al., 2022; Dachs et al., 2019; Faber, 2020; Stapleton and Webb, 2020)
  - ▶ Study the effects of automation adoption on reshoring and examine the different effects between countries, sectors, time and types of technologies
  - ▶ Focus on macro level (country), on both scope of technology availability (innovation) and the diffusion process (adoption)

## Preview of the results

- ▶ We propose a **new measure of reshoring** at the macro level
  - ▶ a flow process
  - ▶ both intermediate inputs and final goods
  - ▶ both domestic and foreign demand
  - ▶ both direct and indirect relationships
  - ▶ account for production change
- ▶ Reshoring is **not a common trend** in the period 2008-2019
- ▶ We look at the impact of automation:
  - ▶ Automation adoption is **not associated by an increase in reshoring**, but even promote offshoring
  - ▶ **Threshold effects** seem to exist
  - ▶ This effect is driven by **high income countries**
  - ▶ **Europe & Central Asia** and **East Asia Pacific** are the two regions driving this effect - confirm the importance of regional connection
  - ▶ **ICT and 3D Printing** are the two only technologies confirming this effect
  - ▶ **No effect** found for **period 2014-2019**

# Automation and reshoring: what do we expect?

## Aggregate studies:

- ▶ Robot adoption increases reshoring (Faber, 2020; Kugler et al., 2020; Krenz et al., 2021)
- ▶ AI increases bilateral trade (Sun and Trefler, 2022)
- ▶ 3D printing technology adoption increases exports of hearing aid (Freund et al., 2022)
- ▶ Robots adoption in developed countries promote trade (Artuc et al., 2022)

## Firm-level studies:

- ▶ Survey studies
  - ▶ Firms increase reshoring to home country when firms increase patenting and when home country pursues policies in promoting Industry 4.0 (Barbieri et al., 2022)
  - ▶ no relationship (Kamp and Gibaja, 2021)
- ▶ Firm-level data
  - ▶ Robot adoption firms increase their imports (Stapleton and Webb, 2020; Cilekoglu et al., 2021)

# Data and variables



# Data and variables

## Datasets

- ▶ **Asian Development Bank Multiregional Input-Output Tables (ADB-MRIO)**
  - ▶ Base on the World Input-Output Tables (Timmer et al., 2015)
  - ▶ Include **28 EU countries** (as of July 1, 2013), **15 other major economies**, and **19 Asian economies**
  - ▶ Cover 35 industries, at 2-digit ISIC revision 4 level
  - ▶ Cover years **2000, 2007 to 2019**
  
- ▶ **ADB-ADBI Innovation and Structural Transformation Database**
  - ▶ Developed by ADB Institute, ADB, and United Nations University
  - ▶ Structural change, product complexity, innovation, and global value chains **at country level**
  
- ▶ **Other datasets**
  - ▶ World Development Indicators
  - ▶ GeoDist from CEPII

# Data and variables

## **Main variables:**

- ▶ Reshoring (ADB-MRIO table)
- ▶ Automation adoption (ADB-ADBI Innovation and Structural Transformation Database)
- ▶ Automation innovation (ADB-ADBI Innovation and Structural Transformation Database)

# Measuring Automation adoption

We use **imports** of capital goods embedding **automation** technologies

- ▶ **Why?** Lack of systematic firm-level info on adoption of automation/AI technologies
  - ▶ Done by several studies (Dixon et al., 2020; Bonfiglioli et al., 2020; Acemoglu et al. , 2020; Aghion et al., 2020; Domini et al., 2022)
  - ▶ Exceptions: survey data (Bessen et al., 2019; Zolas et al., 2021; Cirera et al., 2021)
  
- ▶ **How?** Identified via product codes
  - ▶ Cover six types of sub-fields related to 4IR including **CAD-CAM, Robots, Automated Welding, 3D Printing, Regulating Instruments, and ICT**
  - ▶ May cover 3IR technologies
  - ▶ At sector level

# Measuring Automation innovation

We use **patents** of **automation** technologies

- ▶ **How?**

- ▶ PATSTAT

- ▶ At sector level, use their measure patent content of value added where  $Q_j = Pat_j / VA_j$ .

# New measure of reshoring

# Definition

- ▶ **Reshoring** is defined as the decision to *relocate activities* back to the home country (Fratocchi et al., 2014)

# Concerns about Current Measures

## ▶ Measure through offshoring-macro data

- ▶ Base on imported intermediates from (Feenstra and Hanson, 1999)
  - ▶ (-) **Exclude any final goods that are assembled overseas** (Fort, 2017; Johnson, 2018)
- ▶ Include both intermediates and final products to calculate what share of domestic demand is served by foreign products (De Backer et al., 2018)
  - ▶ (-) **Refer to only domestic demand, and exclude foreign demand**
  - ▶ (-) **Foreign input shares in value added decline may be due to a decline in production** (Krenz et al., 2021)

## ▶ **Firm-level:** customs data in importing and number of affiliates for each firm in the host country (Harrison and McMillan, 2011; Kovak et al., 2021; Stapleton and Webb, 2020)

- ▶ (-) **Only available for some countries**

# How we measure

- ▶ Our new measure is as follows:

$$Reshoring_t = (DVA_t/FVA_t) - (DVA_{t-1}/FVA_{t-1})$$

- ▶  $DVA_t$ : domestic value added at time t
- ▶  $FVA_t$ : foreign value added at time t
- ▶ Narrow reshoring: only domestic value added served domestic demand
- ▶ Broad reshoring: domestic value added served both domestic and foreign demand
- ▶ Interpretation: measures **how much domestic value added increased** relative to **foreign value added compared** to the **previous year**



# How we measure

- ▶ **Matrix forms** to calculate *DVA* and *FVA*

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{VLF}$$

- ▶ **V**: matrix of value added coefficient where elements  $v_i = va_i/y_i$
- ▶ **L**: Leontif matrix
- ▶ **F**: diagonal matrix of final demands
- ▶ Narrow measure: focus on column side of the matrix **S**
- ▶ Broad measure: *DVA* is sum of that country-sector row

# Sample and Stylized Facts

# Sample

## Descriptive statistics of the panel dataset, 2008-2019

| Variable   | Description                 | Standard deviation |         |        | Observations |                 | Mean  |
|------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------|--------|--------------|-----------------|-------|
|            |                             | Overall            | Between | Within | Nb of obs    | Nb of countries |       |
| RES        | Reshoring<br>(Narrow)       | 0.53               | 0.11    | 0.51   | 744          | 62              | -0.02 |
| LGAUTO     | Auto adopt                  | 1.56               | 1.56    | 0.23   | 744          | 62              | 8.35  |
| LGCAD      | by pop (Log)<br>CAD/CAM     | 1.07               | 1.04    | 0.27   | 744          | 62              | 1.56  |
| LGICT      | by pop (Log)<br>ICT         | 1.81               | 1.80    | 0.27   | 744          | 62              | 4.82  |
| LGREGINST  | by pop (Log)<br>Reg Instru  | 1.27               | 1.26    | 0.22   | 744          | 62              | 2.16  |
| LGROBOTS   | by pop (Log)<br>Robots      | 1.32               | 1.30    | 0.29   | 744          | 62              | 2.46  |
| LGWEL      | by pop (Log)<br>Welding     | 0.62               | 0.56    | 0.27   | 744          | 62              | 0.75  |
| LG3D       | by pop (Log)<br>3D printing | 1.07               | 1.05    | 0.25   | 744          | 62              | 2.00  |
| LGPAT      | by pop (Log)<br>Patents     | 0.03               | 0.03    | 0.01   | 744          | 62              | 0.02  |
| LGLBPROD   | (Log)<br>LP                 | 0.85               | 0.85    | 0.09   | 744          | 62              | 3.30  |
| LANDLOCKED | Dummy<br>landlocked         | 0.40               | 0.40    | 0      | 744          | 62              | 0.19  |
| LNTEMP     | Temperature<br>(Log)        | 0.29               | 0.29    | 0      | 720          | 60              | 3.47  |

# Stylized Facts

- ▶ Reshoring fluctuates over time from 2008 to 2019, shows different pattern than the measure from Krenz et al., 2021




Figure 1: New reshoring index in China (PRC), Great Britain (UKG), Spain (SPA), and United States (USA)




Figure 2: Reshoring index by Krenz et al., 2021 in China, Great Britain, Spain and United States (USA). Source: Krenz et al., 2021

# Stylized Facts

## ► Reshoring fluctuates over time from 2008 to 2019




Figure 3: Reshoring (Narrow) by country over time

# Stylized Facts

## ► Automation Adoption




Figure 4: Automation Adoption by country over time

# Models

## At cross-country level

$$RES_{ct} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 LGAUTO_{ct} + \beta_2 LGPAT_{ct} + \beta_3 LGLBPROD_{ct} + \beta_4 LGDIS + \beta_5 LANDLOCKED + \beta_6 LNTEMP + \beta_7 D_t + \varepsilon_{ct}$$

- ▶  $c$ : country,  $t$ : time period,  $\varepsilon_{ct}$ : error term
- ▶  $RES_{ct}$ : reshoring in country  $c$  at time  $t$ , measured at narrow definition
- ▶  $LGAUTO_{ct}$ : logarit form for total automation imports value at country  $c$  at time  $t$ ; weighted by population
- ▶  $LGPAT_{ct}$ : logarit form for number of patents for 10 years accumulation in country  $c$  at time  $t$ , weighted by population
- ▶  $LGLBPROD_{ct}$ : logarit form for labour productivity at country  $c$  at time  $t$



# Results

# At cross-country level: Baseline Results

Does automation adoption **increase** reshoring at the macro level? **No**

| Variable                      | Random effects |       |     | Fixed effects |       |     | Hausman-Taylor |        |       |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-------|-----|---------------|-------|-----|----------------|--------|-------|
|                               | Coef           | SE    | Sig | Coef          | SE    | Sig | Coef           | SE     | Sig   |
| LGAUTO*                       | -0.012         | 0.011 |     | -0.302        | 0.095 | *** | -0.303         | 0.109  | ***   |
| LGPAT                         | -0.406         | 0.487 |     | -2.90         | 2.71  |     | 0.01           | -2.708 | 2.045 |
| LGLBPROD*                     | 0.077          | 0.027 | *** | 0.45          | 0.40  |     | 0.09           | 0.462  | 0.322 |
| Nb of obs                     | 720            |       |     | 720           |       |     | 720            |        |       |
| Nb of coun                    | 60             |       |     | 60            |       |     | 60             |        |       |
| R <sup>2</sup> <i>within</i>  | 0.14           |       |     | 0.15          |       |     |                |        |       |
| R <sup>2</sup> <i>between</i> | 0.15           |       |     | 0.0004        |       |     |                |        |       |
| R <sup>2</sup> <i>overall</i> | 0.14           |       |     | 0.06          |       |     |                |        |       |

## At cross-country level: Adding interaction effects

Is this a **linear relationship**? **No**

| Variable                      | With AUTODUMMY |       |     | With AUTOPAT and AUTODUMMY |        |     | With AUTOPROD and AUTODUMMY |        |     |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-------|-----|----------------------------|--------|-----|-----------------------------|--------|-----|
|                               | Coef           | SE    | Sig | Coef                       | SE     | Sig | Coef                        | SE     | Sig |
| LGAUTO*                       | -0.349         | 0.113 | *** | -0.358                     | 0.115  | *** | -0.114                      | 0.120  |     |
| LGPAT                         | -4.315         | 1.768 | **  | -2.234                     | 67.789 |     | -15.484                     | 11.715 |     |
| LGPROD*                       | 0.638          | 0.228 | *** | 0.477                      | 0.377  |     | 1.518                       | 0.786  | *   |
| AUTODUM                       | 0.215          | 0.124 | *   | 0.407                      | 0.222  | *   | 0.706                       | 0.300  | **  |
| AUTOPAT                       |                |       |     | -1.535                     | 7.136  |     |                             |        |     |
| AUTOPROD                      |                |       |     |                            |        |     | -0.139                      | 0.093  |     |
| Nb of obs                     | 720            |       |     | 720                        |        |     | 720                         |        |     |
| Nb of coun                    | 60             |       |     | 60                         |        |     | 60                          |        |     |
| R <sup>2</sup> <i>within</i>  |                |       |     | 0.16                       |        |     | 0.16                        |        |     |
| R <sup>2</sup> <i>between</i> |                |       |     | 0.0003                     |        |     | 0.00                        |        |     |
| R <sup>2</sup> <i>overall</i> |                |       |     | 0.06                       |        |     | 0.04                        |        |     |

Model with interaction term AUTODUMMY is Hausman Taylor specification. Models with interaction term AUTODUMMY and AUTOPAT, and AUTODUMMY and AUTOPROD are fixed effects specification. \* p<0.1; \*\* p<0.05; \*\*\* p<0.01

# At cross-country level: Adding interaction effects




Figure 5: The marginal effect of AUTODUMMY on reshoring




Figure 6: The marginal effect of LGPAT on reshoring

Model with interaction term AUTODUMMY and AUTOPAT (Fixed effects)

# At cross-country level: Adding interaction effects




Figure 7: The marginal effect of AUTODUMMY on reshoring





Figure 8: The marginal effect of LGLBPROD on reshoring

Model with interaction term AUTODUMMY and AUTOPROD (Fixed effects)

# At cross-country level: Regions effects

Does this effect differ between **regions**? **Yes**



Model with interaction term AUTODUMMY (Hausman-Taylor specification)

# What explains this relationship?

## Competition and Market size channel (Artuc et al., 2022)

- ▶ Market size channel
  - ▶ Producers substitute domestic workers for automation in automatable tasks -> lower costs of production in countries adopt automation -> an increase in demand -> an increase in imports
- ▶ Competition channel
  - ▶ Lower costs of production in countries adopt automation -> products more competitive -> producers substitute foreign products with domestic goods

# What explains this relationship?

## **Productivity channel - Solow paradox?**

- ▶ New technologies and productivity paradox (Solow, 1987; Acemoglu et al., 2014; Brynjolfsson et al., 2019)
- ▶ However, new technologies do have a positive impact on productivity of the sectors of adoption (Capello et al., 2022)



# What explains this relationship?

## **Sunk Cost channel (Antras, 2021)**

- ▶ Offshoring involves sunk cost
- ▶ Firms will not abandon their activities in other countries because of the large sunk cost in the past

# What explains this relationship?

## Characteristics and Adoption rate channel

- ▶ Current robots are only a continuous version of previous automation technologies (Fernández-Macías et al., 2021)
- ▶ Adoption is concentrated in a few firms, sectors and countries (Fernández-Macías et al., 2021; Koch et al., 2021; Benmelech and Zator, 2022)

# Summing up

- ▶ We propose a **new measure of reshoring** at the macro level
- ▶ Reshoring is **not a common trend** in the period 2008-2019
- ▶ We look at the impact of automation:
  - ▶ Automation adoption is **not associated by an increase in reshoring**, but even promote offshoring
  - ▶ **Threshold effects** seem to exist
  - ▶ This effect is driven by **high income countries**
  - ▶ **Europe & Central Asia** and **East Asia Pacific** are the two regions driving this effect - confirm the importance of regional connection
  - ▶ **ICT and 3D Printing** are the two only technologies confirming this effect
  - ▶ **No effect** found for **period 2014-2019**

# Appendix

# Conceptual Framework

# Our Conceptual Framework

## Competition and Market size channel (Artuc et al., 2022)

- ▶ Market size channel
  - ▶ Producers substitute domestic workers for automation in automatable tasks -> lower costs of production in countries adopt automation -> an increase in demand -> an increase in imports
- ▶ Competition channel
  - ▶ Lower costs of production in countries adopt automation -> products more competitive -> producers substitute foreign products with domestic goods

# Our Conceptual Framework

## Productivity channel - Solow paradox?

- ▶ New technologies and productivity paradox (Solow, 1987; Acemoglu et al., 2014; Brynjolfsson et al., 2019)
- ▶ However, new technologies do have a positive impact on productivity of the sectors of adoption (Capello et al., 2022)

# Our Conceptual Framework

## **Sunk Cost channel (Antras, 2021)**

- ▶ Offshoring involves sunk cost
- ▶ Firms will not abandon their activities in other countries because of the large sunk cost in the past



# Our Conceptual Framework

## Characteristics and Adoption rate channel

- ▶ Current robots are only a continuous version of previous automation technologies (Fernández-Macías et al., 2021)
- ▶ Adoption is concentrated in a few firms, sectors and countries (Fernández-Macías et al., 2021; Koch et al., 2021; Benmelech and Zator, 2022)


# Literature and Concerns about Current Measures

## ▶ Firm level data

- ▶ Firm-level customs data in importing and number of affiliates for each firm in the host country (Harrison and McMillan, 2011; Kovak et al., 2021; Stapleton and Webb, 2020)
  - ▶ (-) **Only available for some countries**
- ▶ Survey data in their reshoring decision (Fort, 2017)
  - ▶ (-) **Only cover a subset of firms and only in some specific years**

# Literature and Concerns about Current Measures


- ▶ **We decide to measure reshoring at macro level due to**
  - ▶ Can cover many **indirect and direct relationships** (tier 1, tier 2 or 3 in supply chain). Firm-level data is not sufficient enough to cover these relationships (except for Belgium, Turkish data)



Timmer et al. (2021)

# Literature and Concerns about Current Measures

- ▶ We decide to measure reshoring at macro level due to
  - ▶ Can reflect **reshoring process**, including **both intermediate inputs and final goods**, consider both **domestic and foreign demand** and examine the impact on the macro level.



# Stylized Facts

## ► Different magnitudes over time and across sectors




Figure 9: New reshoring index at industry level in China in Food and Textiles industry




Figure 10: Reshoring index by Krenz et al., 2021 at sector level in China. Source: Krenz et al., 2021

# Stylized Facts

- ▶ Different types of technologies show different trends of adoption




Figure 11: 3D printing adoption by country over time




Figure 12: Robots adoption by country over time


## At sector-country level

$$RES_{ict} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 LGPAT_{ict} + \beta_2 LGAUTO_{ict} + \beta_3 LGLBPROD_{ict} + \beta_4 LGDIS + \beta_5 LANDLOCKED + \beta_6 LNTEMP + \beta_7 D_t + \varepsilon_{ct}$$

- ▶  $i$  is industry,  $c$  is country,  $t$  is time period and  $\varepsilon_{ict}$  is the error term
- ▶ Mitigate the endogeneity problems that arise in cross-country regressions by assuming that it is unlikely that strong sectoral reshoring causes changes in the country-level determinants

# At cross-country level: Income effects

Does this effect differ between **high-income, middle-income, lower-middle-income** countries? **Yes**




Model with interaction term AUTODUMMY (Hausman-Taylor specification)




# At cross-country level: Time effects

Does this effect **vary over time**? **Yes**




# At cross-country level: Types of technologies


Is there different effect for **type of technologies**? **Yes**




**((a))** CAD/CAM




**((b))** ICT




**((c))** Reg Instruments



**((d))** Robots



**((e))** Welding



**((f))** 3D Printing

**Figure 13: Types of technologies**